

June 13, 2017

Andrew Barnsdale Project Manager California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Monthly Report Summary #36 for Aliso Canyon Turbine Replacement Project

Dear Mr. Barnsdale:

This monthly report provides a summary of the compliance monitoring activities that occurred during the period from **March 1 to April 30, 2017**, for the Aliso Canyon Turbine Replacement (ACTR) Project (Aliso) in California. Compliance monitoring was performed to ensure that all project-related activities conducted by Southern California Gas Company (SCG), Southern California Edison (SCE), and their contractors are in compliance with the requirements of the Final Environmental Impact Report (Final EIR) for Aliso, as adopted by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) on November 14, 2013, and as further modified in the Addendum to the Final EIR, as approved by the CPUC on December 18, 2014.

The CPUC has issued the following Notices to Proceed (NTPs) for the project to SCG and SCE:

- NTP #1 (February 25, 2014): The Guard House and road widening component.
- NTP #2 (May 27, 2014): Construction of new administrative buildings, removal of old buildings, and development of Fill Sites P-41 and P-43.
- NTP #3 (July 18, 2014): Construction of the Central Compressor Station (CCS), grading for the Natural Substation, and installation of five tubular steel poles (TSPs) and stringing conductor.
- NTP-A (October 28, 2014): Construction associated with the Natural-Newhall-San Fernando and MacNeil-Newhall-San Fernando 66-kilovolt (kV) subtransmission lines, and work at the San Fernando, Newhall, Chatsworth, Sunshine, and MacNeil substations.
- NTP-B (February 24, 2015): Construction of a portion of Telecommunications Route 3 from the San Fernando Substation to the temporary San Fernando Substation Tap.
- NTP-C (April 14, 2015): Construction and telecommunication installation associated with the MacNeil-Newhall-San Fernando and Natural-Newhall-San Fernando 66-kV subtransmission lines.
- NTP-D (June 8, 2015): Additional construction and telecommunication installation associated with the MacNeil-Newhall-San Fernando and Natural-Newhall-San Fernando 66-kV subtransmission lines, and construction of the Natural Substation.
- NTP-E (September 21, 2015): Additional construction and telecommunication installation on Telecommunications Routes 1, 2, and 3.

Onsite compliance monitoring by the Ecology and Environment, Inc. (E & E) compliance team during this reporting period focused on spot-checks of ongoing construction and restoration activities. Compliance Monitor Vince Semonsen visited the Aliso construction and restoration sites on March 23 and April 24, 2017. A site inspection report that summarizes observed construction and restoration activities and compliance events and verifies mitigation measures (MMs)/applicant proposed measures (APMs) was completed for each site visit. The reports are attached below (Attachment 1).

Overall, the ACTR Project has maintained compliance with the Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Program's (MMCRP) Compliance Plan. Communication between the CPUC/E & E compliance team and SCG and SCE has been regular and generally effective; correspondence discussed and documented compliance events, upcoming compliance-related surveys and deliverables, and the construction schedule. Agency calls between CPUC/E & E, SCG, and SCE, along with weekly email updates from SCG, provided additional compliance information and construction summaries. Furthermore, SCG's monthly compliance status reports for March and April 2017 provided a compliance summary and included: a description of construction activities from March 1 to 31, 2017, and April 1 to 30, 2017; a detailed look-ahead construction schedule; a summary of compliance with project commitments (MMs/APMs) for air quality, biological resources, cultural and paleontological resources, the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), noise, and the Worker Environmental Awareness Training Program (WEAP); a summary of non-compliance incidents; and a list of recent ACTR Project approvals.

In March and April 2017, SCE did not conduct any construction activities beyond restoration and weed abatement. SCE has minor outstanding construction components to complete and will notify CPUC/E & E when these activities are scheduled. SCE is no longer required to provide monthly compliance status reports or weekly email updates to the CPUC.

## Non-Compliance Issued by the CPUC

### Level 1 Non-Compliance (SCG) Follow-Up

In response to the nest encroachment reported in the February 2017 Monthly Report, SCG held a nesting bird tutorial on March 2, 2017, for all Henkels & McCoy (H&M) crews. The tutorial covered: SCG's expectations of their contractors; SCG's commitment to supporting the MMCRP for the Aliso Project; the Migratory Bird Treaty Act; agency oversight; Nesting Bird Management Plan requirements; information on nest buffers including the requirements and signage; and potential fines. The tutorial also provided contact information for the environmental management staff.

### Non-Compliance Reports Issued (SCG)

On March 24, 2017, the CPUC issued Non-Compliance Report (NCR)-12, a Level 3 non-compliance, to SCG for inadequate best management practices (BMPs) leading to erosion and sedimentation associated with the TSP-A2 access road construction. For incident details, see NCR-12 and Monthly Compliance Report 33 (December 2016).

On April 4, 2017, the CPUC issued NCR-13, a Level-3 non-compliance, to SCE for the diesel fuel spill on January 5, 2017. For incident details, see NCR-13 and Monthly Compliance Report 34 (January 2017).

### **Special Status Species Observations**

Twenty-six live California newts, a California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW)-designated Species of Special Concern, were observed during March 2017 and six were observed in April 2017; all of the newts were relocated out of harm's way. Nineteen dead newts were documented during March 2017 and one dead newt was documented in April 2017. The dead newts were collected in accordance with CDFW requested protocol. In March 2017, SCG held three newt refresher trainings for storage field staff.

#### **Public Concerns**

There were no public concerns during March and April 2017.

### Minor Approvals

During March and April 2017, one Minor Project Refinement (MPR) was approved (see Table 1).

**Table 1: Minor Approvals for February 2017** 

Description	Approval Date
MPR 9 Amendment 3 included paving of the TSP A2 access road. (SCG)	March 13, 2017

Sincerely, Lana Rachowig

Lara Rachowicz

Project Manager, Ecology and Environment, Inc.

cc:

Derek Rodgers, SCG Chris May, SCE

# **ATTACHMENT 1**

CPUC Site Inspection Report March 23 and April 24, 2017



# Aliso Canyon Turbine Replacement Project CPUC Site Inspection Form

Project:	Aliso Canyon Turbine Replacement	Date:	March 23, 2017
Project Proponent:	Southern California Gas Company and Southern California Edison	Report #:	VS131
Lead Agency:	California Public Utilities Commission	Monitor(s):	Vince Semonsen
CPUC PM:	Andrew Barnsdale, Energy Division	AM/PM Weather:	Clear, sunny, and windy with mild temperatures
E & E CM:	Lara Rachowicz	Start/End Time:	0900 to 1000 at SCE 1015 to 1330 at SCG
Project NTP(s):	Central Compressor Station (CCS)	(NTP-3), 12-kilovolt (k	V) power line (NTP-3), and PS-42 Fill Site.

## SITE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

WEATP Training	Yes	No	N/A
Has WEATP training been completed by all new hires (construction and monitors)?	Χ		
Erosion and Dust Control (Air and Water Quality)			
Have temporary erosion and sediment control measures been installed?	Χ		
Are erosion and sediment control measures properly installed and functioning?	Χ		
Is mud tracked onto paved public roadways cleaned up in accordance with the project's SWPPP?	Χ		
Is dust control being implemented (i.e., access roads watered, haul trucks covered, streets cleaned on a regular basis)?	Х		
Are work areas being effectively watered prior to excavation or grading?	Χ		
Is excessive fugitive dust leaving the work area?		Х	
Equipment			
Are all vehicles observed maintaining a speed limit of 15 mph on unpaved roads?	Χ		
Are all vehicles/equipment observed arriving onsite clean of sediment or plant debris?	Χ		
Are vehicles/equipment turned off when not in use?	Χ		
Work Areas			
Is vegetation disturbance within work areas minimized?	Χ		
Is exclusionary fencing or flagging in place to protect sensitive biological or cultural resources?	Χ		
Are vehicles, equipment, and construction personnel staying within approved work areas and on approved roads?	Х		
Are all excavations and trenches covered at the end of the day?	Χ		
Are ramps installed at 100-foot intervals with ramps not exceeding 2:1 slopes?	Χ		
Biology			

Have preconstruction surveys been completed for biological (wildlife, nesting birds, gnatcatcher, least Bell's vireo) resources as appropriate?	X		
Are biological monitors present onsite?	Х		
Are appropriate measures in place to protect sensitive habitat and/or drainages (i.e., flagging, signage, exclusion fencing, biological monitor, appropriate buffer distance enacted)?	X		
Have wildlife been relocated from work areas?		Х	
Have impacts occurred to adjacent habitat (sensitive or non-sensitive)?		Х	
Did you observe any threatened or endangered species? List:		Х	
Are there wetlands or water bodies present near construction activities?	Х		
Have there been any work stoppages for biological resources?		Х	
Cultural and Paleontological Resources			
Are identified cultural/paleo resources that will not be relocated/salvaged clearly marked for exclusion?			Х
Are archaeological and paleontological monitors onsite if needed?	Х		
Are appropriate buffers maintained around sensitive resources (e.g. cultural sites)?			Х
Have there been any work stoppages for cultural/paleo resources?		Х	
Hazardous Materials			
Are hazardous materials stored appropriately?	Х		
Are procedures in place to prevent spills and accidental releases?	Х		
Are appropriate fire prevention and control measures in place?	Х		
Is contaminated soil properly handled or disposed of, if applicable?	Х		
Work Hours and Noise			
Are night lighting reduction measures in place, as needed?	Х		
Is construction occurring within approved hours?	Х		
Are noise control measures in place within 100 feet of sensitive receptors as needed?			Х

AREAS MONITORED (i.e., structure numbers, yards, or substations)

SCE tubular steel pole (TSP) 32 and TSP 24/25 access road. PS-42 Fill Site, CCS, new Admin/IM Building, 12-kV pole work, and Limekiln Creek.

DESCRIPTION OF OBSERVED ACTIVITIES (i.e., mitigation measures of particular focus or concern, construction activity, any discussions with first-party monitors or construction crews)

I stopped at the SCE Project area along Highway 5 in the morning, beginning with TSP 32. The short access road to the pole site had extensive erosion, and I observed an approximately 2.5–foot-deep rill in the middle of the road (Photo 1). Sediment from the access road's erosion appeared to have been deposited onto the TSP pad, and the rainwater runoff had flowed offsite via the McCarthy drain. The restored cut banks looked stable and had good vegetation growth with very few weeds. From this vantage point I used 10x40 binoculars to scan TSPs 39, 40, and 41 and the adjacent access road. I did not observe any obvious erosion problems.

At TSP 26, there were no BMPs on the steep access road, but the road had not undergone much erosion. Some gravel had washed out onto the shoulder of the paved public road, but it was out of the flow of traffic.

I hiked into the drainage between TSPs 24 and 25. One of the new culverts was completely blocked by rock and debris (Photo 2). Willow cuttings planted within the drainage above the culverts appeared to be doing well and showed extensive amounts of new growth (Photo 3). There was significant erosion along the Hilfiker wall, but it was not affecting the access road (Photo 4). The steep section of the access road heading up to TSP 25 was blocked by a number of fallen branches and downed trees. This road also had a large erosion rill that made it impassible (Photo 5).

I drove to the Aliso Canyon Natural Gas Storage Field (Aliso Storage Field) and arrived at around 1015. I met with SCG's biological monitor Ray Romero (AECOM) and Derek Rodgers (SCG) at the Aliso Canyon Turbine Replacement (ACTR) Project (Aliso) trailer and discussed the ACTR Project status and the ongoing activities. Ray Romero and Derek Rodgers indicated that, due to the wet conditions, work on the 12-kV/TSP A2 access road (A2 access road) had been postponed and that, after soil from the access road work is delivered, the PS-42 Fill Site would be closed and restored.

At the PS-42 Fill Site, a small crew was repairing BMPs (Photo 6). Crews were regrading the PS-42 Well Pad, located above the PS-42 Fill Site, to redirect rainwater runoff into the existing drainage system (Photo 7).

No work was noted at the Natural Substation, but bird nesting buffer signs had been posted by the oak swale. Revegetation along the access road was in good condition and there was coverage of mostly native vegetation.

The A2 access road appeared to be in the same condition as observed during my last site visit, with BMPs still in place (Photo 8); however, sediment captured by these BMPs had not yet been removed after the recent rain events.

Newts were seen in both of the lower sedimentation basin/newt pond and the upper sedimentation basin/newt pond. The newts were observed breeding in both locations, and eggs masses were seen in the lower sedimentation basin/newt pond (Photo 9).

Some minor work was being conducted in the CCS (Photo 10).

I noted that vegetation was pruned from within Limekiln Creek and was removed from both sides of the bridge (Photo 11).

MITIGATION MEASURES VERIFIED (Refer to MMCRP, e.g., MM BR-5. Report only on MMs pertinent to your observations today)

Onsite monitors were in place and overseeing the construction activities; all construction personnel appear to have gone through the training (APM HZ-6).

RECOMMENDED FOLLOW-UP (i.e., items to check on next visit, minor issues to resolve)
Check the A2 access road work.
COMPLIANCE SUGGESTIONS OR ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS (i.e., suggestions to improve compliance on-site, environmental observations of note)
It is bird nesting season; therefore, surveys and oversight need to be verified.
COMPLIANCE SUMMARY Below please describe any non-compliance issues or new biological/cultural discoveries (compliance level 0) that have occurred since your last visit. If you observe a non-compliance issue in the field, please note this on the monitoring datasheet, and for non-compliance Level 2 or 3 fill out and submit a separate Non-Compliance Report Form to E & E Compliance Manager. Inform E & E CM of any non-compliance incidents.
Compliance Level 0: New biological or cultural discovery requiring compliance with mitigation measures, permit conditions, etc. If checked, please describe discovery and documentation/verification below.
Non-Compliance Level 1: Violates the project's environmental requirements but does not immediately put environmental resources at risk. Applicant will need to correct the action and/or prevent repeat incidents of the same issue. If you checked this box, describe the incident below and follow-up to ensure correction.
Non-Compliance Level 2: (Minor Incident) Level 2 should be those actions that have the potential to cause or cause immediate, minor risk to environmental resources such as activities that result in a deviation from the mitigation measure requirements that result in minor, short-term impact to resources. A non-compliance Level 2 situation may occur when Level 1 incidents are repeated, and show a trend toward placing resources at unnecessary risk. If you checked this box, please fill out a Non-Compliance Report.
Non-Compliance Level 3: (Major Incident) Level 3 are those actions that have the potential to cause or cause immediate, major risk to environmental resources such as: major environmental incident that is not in compliance with the applicant mitigation measures, mitigation measures, permit condition, approval (e.g., variances, addendums) requirements, and/or environmental construction specifications; violation of the law; or documented repetitive occurrences of Level 2 Minor Incident events. If you checked this box, please fill out a Non-Compliance Report.
Non-compliance issues reported by SoCalGas or SCE: Were there any new non-compliance issues reported by SoCalGas or SCE monitors since your last visit? If so, describe issues and resolution and include SoCalGas or SCE report identification number.
Date Non-compliance issue and resolution Relevant Mitigation Report #
PREVIOUS NON-COMPLIANCE ITEMS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP OR RESOLVED TODAY:

REPRESE	NTATIVE SITE PHO	OTOGRAPHS	
Date	Location	Photo	Description
3/23/17	TSP 32		Photo 1 – Erosion rills on the access road to the TSP pad.
3/23/17	Culverts under the TSP 24/25 Access Road		Photo 2 – One of the two culverts is blocked by rock and debris.

	NTATIVE SITE PHO		Description
Date	Location	Photo	Description
3/23/17	Drainage Channel along the TSP 24/25 Access Road		Photo 3 – Willow cuttings planted within the drainage are doing well.
3/23/17	TSP 24/25 Access Road		Photo 4 – Erosion along the Hilfiker wall.

REPRESEN	NTATIVE SITE PHO	DTOGRAPHS	
Date	Location	Photo	Description
3/23/17	TSP 24/25 Access Road		Photo 5 – Downed trees and erosion rills are blocking the access road.
3/23/17	PS-42 Fill Site		Photo 6 – Minor repairs to the site BMPs were being conducted.

REPRESEN	ITATIVE SITE PHO	TOGRAPHS	
Date	Location	Photo	Description
3/23/17	PS-42 Well Pad		Photo 7 – A crew is regrading the PS-42 Well Pad above the PS-42 Fill Site to redirect rainwater runoff into the existing drainage system.
3/23/17	A2 Access Road		Photo 8 – BMPs at the entrance to the A2 access road.
3/23/17	Limekiln Creek		Photo 9 – Newts were observed within both the lower sedimentation basin/newt pond and the upper sedimentation basin/newt pond. Mating was observed, and eggs were seen attached to underwater vegetation.

REPRESEN	TATIVE SITE PHO	TOGRAPHS	
Date	Location	Photo	Description
3/23/17	CCS		Photo 10 – Ongoing work at the CCS.
3/23/17	Limekiln Creek		Photo 11 – Some willow pruning has been conducted on both sides of the bridge crossing Limekiln Creek.



# Aliso Canyon Turbine Replacement Project CPUC Site Inspection Form

Project:	Aliso Canyon Turbine Replacement	Date:	April 24, 2017
Project Proponent:	Southern California Gas Company and Southern California Edison	Report #:	VS132
Lead Agency:	California Public Utilities Commission	Monitor(s):	Vince Semonsen
CPUC PM:	Andrew Barnsdale, Energy Division	AM/PM Weather:	Hazy and warm with a slight breeze
E & E CM:	Lara Rachowicz	Start/End Time:	1200 to 1330 at SCG
Project NTP(s):	Central Compressor Station (CCS) (NTP-3), 12-kilovolt (kV) power line (NTP-3), and PS-42 Fill Site.		

# SITE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

WEATP Training	Yes	No	N/A
Has WEATP training been completed by all new hires (construction and monitors)?	Χ		
Erosion and Dust Control (Air and Water Quality)			
Have temporary erosion and sediment control measures been installed?	Χ		
Are erosion and sediment control measures properly installed and functioning?	Χ		
Is mud tracked onto paved public roadways cleaned up in accordance with the project's SWPPP?	Χ		
Is dust control being implemented (i.e., access roads watered, haul trucks covered, streets cleaned on a regular basis)?	Х		
Are work areas being effectively watered prior to excavation or grading?	Χ		
Is excessive fugitive dust leaving the work area?		Х	
Equipment			
Are all vehicles observed maintaining a speed limit of 15 mph on unpaved roads?	Χ		
Are all vehicles/equipment observed arriving onsite clean of sediment or plant debris?	Χ		
Are vehicles/equipment turned off when not in use?	Χ		
Work Areas			
Is vegetation disturbance within work areas minimized?	Χ		
Is exclusionary fencing or flagging in place to protect sensitive biological or cultural resources?	Χ		
Are vehicles, equipment, and construction personnel staying within approved work areas and on approved roads?	Х		
Are all excavations and trenches covered at the end of the day?	Χ		
Are ramps installed at 100-foot intervals with ramps not exceeding 2:1 slopes?	Χ		
Biology			
Have preconstruction surveys been completed for biological (wildlife, nesting birds, gnatcatcher,	Χ		

least Bell's vireo) resources as appropriate?			
Are biological monitors present onsite?	Х		
Are appropriate measures in place to protect sensitive habitat and/or drainages (i.e., flagging, signage, exclusion fencing, biological monitor, appropriate buffer distance enacted)?	Х		
Have wildlife been relocated from work areas?		Х	
Have impacts occurred to adjacent habitat (sensitive or non-sensitive)?		Х	
Did you observe any threatened or endangered species? List:		Х	
Are there wetlands or water bodies present near construction activities?	Х		
Have there been any work stoppages for biological resources?		Х	
Cultural and Paleontological Resources			
Are identified cultural/paleo resources that will not be relocated/salvaged clearly marked for exclusion?			Х
Are archaeological and paleontological monitors onsite if needed?	Х		
Are appropriate buffers maintained around sensitive resources (e.g. cultural sites)?			Х
Have there been any work stoppages for cultural/paleo resources?		Х	
Hazardous Materials			
Are hazardous materials stored appropriately?	Х		
Are procedures in place to prevent spills and accidental releases?	Х		
Are appropriate fire prevention and control measures in place?	Х		
Is contaminated soil properly handled or disposed of, if applicable?	Х		
Work Hours and Noise			
Are night lighting reduction measures in place, as needed?	Х		
Is construction occurring within approved hours?	Х		
Are noise control measures in place within 100 feet of sensitive receptors as needed?			Х

AREAS MONITORED (i.e., structure numbers, yards, or substations)

PS-42 Fill Site, CCS, and 12-kV pole work.

DESCRIPTION OF OBSERVED ACTIVITIES (i.e., mitigation measures of particular focus or concern, construction activity, any discussions with first-party monitors or construction crews)

Upon arriving at the Aliso Canyon Turbine Replacement (ACTR) Project (Aliso) site, a red-tail hawk chick was seen in the sycamore tree nest along Limekiln Creek. All of the signage for both nesting birds and newts were in place and clearly visible.

No work was being conducted at the PS-42 Fill Site. Soil import was nearly complete; however, final restoration remained to be completed (Photo 1). A segment of old straw wattle was caught on one of the drain pipe stakes just west of the PS-42 Fill Site. I noted a number of old wattles along the paved access road that runs around the PS-42 Fill Site, and it appeared that the wattle caught on the drain pipe originated from this location. These wattles are old and without an adequate amount of straw remaining in them, thereby leaving exposed plastic that can trap animals. I spoke with SCG's biological monitor Ray Romero (AECOM) about this issue and also sent a text to Derek Rodgers (SCG); they indicated that the wattles would be removed.

The PS-42 Well Pad above the PS-42 Fill Site had been regraded and was covered in road base (Photo 2). The PS-42 Well Pad drainage was in good condition, and the road base will reduce the sediment loads from any future rainwater runoff.

Photo 3 shows the vegetation growth on the slope below the Natural Substation. This area had burned last year, but has recovered with extensive vegetation and very few weeds.

I drove past the CCS and noted that the slope east of the CCS was well vegetated with very few weedy species (Photo 4).

Work was being conducted on the 12-kV/TSP A2 access road (A2 access road), and water trucks were onsite to help with compaction and dust suppression (Photo 5). The road had been graded, and a crew was bringing in road base in preparation for paving (Photo 7). SCG's avian biologist (Wayne Woodroof) was onsite and monitoring the nearby nesting birds. SCG's arborist (Ann Boroughs) was also onsite to check the work around the oak trees.

The diversion fence near the A2 access road was in place and appeared to be in good condition (Photo 6). The erosion rills above and below the fence remained and appeared stable.

MITIGATION MEASURES VERIFIED (Refer to MMCRP, e.g., MM BR-5. Report only on MMs pertinent to your observations today)

Onsite monitors were in place and overseeing the construction activities; all construction personnel appear to have gone through the training (APM HZ-6).

RECOMMENDED FOLLOW-UP (i.e., items to check on next visit, minor issues to resolve)

Check the A2 access road work and keep track of the oak swale erosion repairs.

COMPLIANCE SUGGESTIONS OR ADDITIONAL OBSERVATIONS (i.e., suggestions to improve compliance on-site, environmental observations of note)

Bird nesting season is upon us; surveys and oversight need to be verified. Removal of all the old plastic covered straw wattles is recommended.

Below	COMPLIANCE SUMMARY  Below please describe any non-compliance issues or new biological/cultural discoveries (compliance level 0) that have occurred since your last visit. If you observe a non-compliance issue in the field, please note this on the monitoring datasheet,			
	and for non-compliance Level 2 or 3 fill out and submit a separate Non-Compliance Report Form to E & E Compliance Manager. Inform E & E CM of any non-compliance incidents.			
	Compliance Level 0: New biological or cultural discovery requiring compliance with mitigation measures, permit conditions, etc. If checked, please describe discovery and documentation/verification below.			
е	Non-Compliance Level 1: Violates the project's environmental requirements but does not immediately put environmental resources at risk. Applicant will need to correct the action and/or prevent repeat incidents of the same issue. If you checked this box, describe the incident below and follow-up to ensure correction.			
ir n o	Non-Compliance Level 2: (Minor Incident) Level 2 should be those actions that have the potential to cause or cause immediate, minor risk to environmental resources such as activities that result in a deviation from the mitigation measure requirements that result in minor, short-term impact to resources. A non-compliance Level 2 situation may occur when Level 1 incidents are repeated, and show a trend toward placing resources at unnecessary risk. If you checked this box, please fill out a Non-Compliance Report.			
ir ti r	Non-Compliance Level 3: (Major Incident) Level 3 are those actions that have the potential to cause or cause immediate, major risk to environmental resources such as: major environmental incident that is not in compliance with the applicant mitigation measures, mitigation measures, permit condition, approval (e.g., variances, addendums) requirements, and/or environmental construction specifications; violation of the law; or documented repetitive occurrences of Level 2 Minor Incident events. If you checked this box, please fill out a Non-Compliance Report.			
Non-compliance issues reported by SoCalGas or SCE: Were there any new non-compliance issues reported by SoCalGas or SCE monitors since your last visit? If so, describe issues and resolution and include SoCalGas or SCE report identification number.				
Date	Non-compliance issue and resolution	Relevant Mitigation Measure	NC Report #	
PRE\	/IOUS NON-COMPLIANCE ITEMS REQUIRING FOLLOW-UP OR RESOLVED TODAY:			

REPRESEN	TATIVE SITE PHO	DTOGRAPHS	
Date	Location	Photo	Description
4/24/17	PS-42 Fill Site		Photo 1 – No work at the PS-42 Fill Site; final restoration remains to be completed.
4/24/17	PS-42 Well Pad		Photo 2 – Work on the PS-42 Well Pad appears to have been completed, with road base laid down on the pad.
4/24/17	Natural Substation		Photo 3 – Slope below (south of) the Natural Substation appears to be stable with excellent vegetation growth and minimal weeds. This area had burned last year.

REPRESEN	ITATIVE SITE PH	HOTOGRAPHS	
Date	Location	Photo	Description
4/24/17	CCS		Photo 4 – The slope east of the CCS is well vegetated with minimal weed growth.
4/24/17	A2 Access Road		Photo 5 – Road base is being laid down with water being applied for compaction and dust suppression.

REPRESE	NTATIVE SITE PHO	OTOGRAPHS	
Date	Location	Photo	Description
4/24/17	Oak Swale near the A2 Access Road		Photo 6 – Diversion fence within the oak swale drainage appears to be in good condition. No work has been done on the erosion rills.
4/24/17	A2 Pole Site		Photo 7 – Road base being brought to the TSP pad.